### MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Permitting and Compliance Division
Waste and Underground Tank Management Bureau
Solid Waste Management Section
Metcalf Building
PO Box 200901
Helena, MT 59620-0901

## CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT SEPTIC TANK PUMPERS

#### 1. PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED FOR AGENCY ACTION:

Mr. and Mrs. Ian R. Cenis of SHUR CLEAN SERVICES has submitted an application for a new disposal site application (STP License S-989). SHUR CLEAN SERVICES is located in Big Fork and proposes to do business in Flathead, Lake, Missoula, Granite, and Deer Lodge Counties.

The purpose of this checklist Environmental Assessment (EA) is to document environmental issues related to the disposal of septage waste and grease trap waste in Flathead County on the Duane E. Lee Property located in the Sections 19, T27N, R19W.

	- •						
2.	DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION:						
	Septic Tank Pumper Disposal site application for a:						
	(check one only and complete a separate assessment for each site)						
	Wastewater Treatment Facility						
	Septage Processor or Composter						
	Class II Landfill						
	other (specify)						
3.	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE (O&M) PLAN:						
	Source, Types and Amount of Wastes:						
	Septage – estimated total gal/year <b>250,000 to 300,000</b>						
	Portable Toilet/Vault Toilet Type Waste – estimated total gal/year						
	Grease Trap Waste - estimated total gal/year 10,000						
	Sump Pumpings (specify type below)						
	Automatic Car Wash Bay Sump - estimated total gal/yr						
	Attended Car Wash Bay Sump - estimated total gal/yr						
	Unattended Car Wash Bay Sump - estimated total gal/yr						
	Other Sump, specify: estimated total gal/yr						

## 4. Disposal Site Characteristics:

YES	NO	N/A					
$\boxtimes$			Legal description of proposed disposal site location provided?				
			General description of disposal site location provided?				
$\boxtimes$			Acreage adequate to manage proposed waste volume? 50 acres				
$\boxtimes$			Total proposed volume less than/equal to AAR?				
$\boxtimes$			Setback boundaries detailed on site map? (buildings, roads, drainages)				
$\boxtimes$			Distance to building greater than 500-feet?				
$\boxtimes$			Distance to state surface water greater than 150-feet?				
$\boxtimes$			Distance to state, federal, county, or city maintained road greater than 100-feet?				
			Distance to drinking water supply source greater than 100-feet?				
$\boxtimes$			Slope of land surface less than 6-percent? (subsurface injection less than 12%)				
$\boxtimes$			Depth to ground water greater than 6-feet? 30ft				
$\boxtimes$			Landowner's permission of site use provided?				
$\boxtimes$			VAPR method provided?				
	$\boxtimes$		<b>AAR calculation provided? If not, then:</b> $AAR = 75/0.0026 = 28,846 \text{ gal/acre}$				
			Crop/yield information provided? Grass @ 75#N/acre				
$\boxtimes$			Current use of proposed disposal site noted? Agriculture				
		$\boxtimes$	Approval available from WWTP within 25 miles of the point of generation during				
			year when ground frozen or snow covered?				
$\boxtimes$			Disposal site property ownership information provided?				
			Site restrictions noted?				
			Animal Grazing				
$\boxtimes$			Crop Harvesting				
$\boxtimes$			List of equipment to manage wastes provided? Tractor and disc-8ft offset.				
			Site access control noted? Property fenced and gated.				
			VAPR method noted? Tilling and lime as needed.				
$\boxtimes$			VAPR method adequate for site conditions?				
If 'NC	If 'NO' checked for one or more items, provide explanation below						

AAR calculated by Department based on licensee information.

# 5. DESCRIPTION AND ANALYSIS OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES WHENEVER ALTERNATIVES ARE REASONABLY AVAILABLE AND PRUDENT TO CONSIDER:

The Department considered three alternatives:

- 1. Approve the disposal site. The site meets all of the requirements of the Cesspool, Septic Tank, and Privy Cleaners Act (Act). The site soils, slope, depth to ground water, approvals, and setback requirements have been met.
- 2. Not approve the land application site. The site fails to meet the requirements of the Act.
- 3. Have the applicant seek an alternative site. If this alternative is chosen, the applicant will have to seek another site for land application and could spend a large amount of time and potentially money to locate, study, and license another site suitable for septage land application.

# 6. EVALUATION OF MITIGATION, STIPULATIONS AND OTHER CONTROLS ENFORCEABLE BY THE AGENCY OR ANOTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCY:

The proposed land application site and operation and maintenance plan must meet the requirements of the Montana Septic Tank, Cesspool, and Privy Cleaners Act, Air and Water Quality Acts and other applicable Montana environmental laws and regulations as well as County ordinances. Compliance with these laws and regulations should keep any environmental effects to a minimum. The licensee will operate the site under the guidelines of the approved Operations Plan.

### 7. FINDINGS:

The Department finds that there would be little or no impacts to the physical and human environment if the septage is treated in a manner consistent with the rules and regulations. Therefore, a checklist EA is the appropriate level of analysis and an Environmental Impact Statement is not needed. This treatment option is a beneficial use of a waste product.

EA prepared by: Renai Hill

Date: August 7, 2008

TABLE 1. Predicted Impacts of the Proposed Project on the Physical & Biological Environments.

	LEVEL OF IMPACT <sup>1</sup>						
RESOURCE	Major	Moderate	Minor	None	Unknown	Appendix	
1. Terrestrial and Aquatic Life and Habitat				<b>✓</b>			
2. Water Quality, Quantity, and Distribution				~			
3. Geology and Soil Quality, Stability and Moisture				~			
4. Vegetation Cover, Quantity and Quality				~			
5. Aesthetics				~			
6. Air Quality				~			
7. Unique, Endangered, Fragile or Limited Environmental Resources				~			
8. Demands on Environmental Resources of Water, Air, and Energy				~			
9. Historical and Archaeological Sites				<b>✓</b>			


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CUMULATIVE IMPACTS: None for the Proposed Project, because compliance with the Montana Cesspool, Septic Tank, and Privy Cleaners Act mitigates impacts on human health and the environment and no other projects affect the area.

TABLE 2. Predicted Impacts of the Proposed Project on the Social & Economic Environments.

	LEVEL OF IMPACT <sup>1</sup>						
RESOURCE	Major	Moderate	Minor	None	Unknown	Appendix	
1. Social Structure and Mores				~			
2. Cultural Uniqueness and Diversity				~			
3. Local and State Tax Base and Tax Revenue				~			
4. Agricultural or Industrial Production				~			
5. Human Health				~			
6. Access to and Quality of Recreational and Wilderness Activities				~			
				~			
7. Quantity and Distribution of Employment							
8. Distribution of Population				~			
9. Demands for Government Services				<b>&gt;</b>			
10. Industrial and Commercial Activity				~			
11. Locally Adopted Environmental Plans and Goals				~			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CUMULATIVE IMPACTS: None for Proposed Project, because compliance with the Montana Cesspool, Septic Tank, and Privy Cleaners Act mitigates impacts on human health and the environment and no other projects affect the area.

Figure 1: SHUR CLEAN SERVICES
STP License #S-989
Land Application Site Location
Flathead County – Duane E. Lee Property
Sections 19, T27N, R19W



Approximate land application site boundaries